

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,385	02/26/2004	Steven C. Quay	03-02US	4791	
36814	6814 7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
NASTECH PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY INC 3450 MONTE VILLA PARKWAY			WARD, I	WARD, PAUL V	
	BOTHELL, WA 98021-8906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1624		

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/787,385	QUAY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		PAUL V. WARD	1624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·	·			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

STATUS: The rejection of claims 1, 23, 24, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in the Office Action date April 27, 2005 has been maintained for the reasons of record and for the reasons set forth herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The rejections of claims 1-23, under 35 U.S.C. 103, have been overcome by Applicant's amendment.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The rejections of claims 24-30, under 35 U.S.C. 103, have been overcome by Applicant's amendment.

#### Response to Arguments, regarding

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

3. Examiner, in the Office Action dated April 27, 2005, has rejected claims 1, 23, 24, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement in regards to the claimed solution having a bioavailability of at least 7% when administered intranasally.

Applicant contends that it discloses that the cyanocobalamin solutions of the invention and that when administered intranasally, it achieves bioavailability of at least 7% of the bioavailability of an intramuscular injection of cyanocobalamin. However, Applicant contentions are not persuasive.

The claims are directed to a solution that, when administered intranasally, have a bioavailability of at least 7% relative to an intramuscular injection. An adequate

Art Unit: 1624

representation regarding the bioavailability claimed would be one that provides all of the data necessary to calculate the bioavailability claimed relative to that of an intramuscular injection.

Additionally, there are several methods of assessing bioavailability in humans and other animals. The selection of methods depends on the nature of the drug product and makes use of such parameters as time of peak plasma concentration, peak plasma concentration and the area under the plasma-time cure (AUC). However, Applicant does not provide any AUC data for bioavailability of cyanocobalamin delivered via intramuscular injection.

Further, Applicant discloses several examples in the specification to demonstrate the relative bioavailability relating to the compositions and methods claims. However, in order to demonstrate relative bioavailability, Applicant must provide four variables for the bioavailability equation. Applicant's disclosure fails to demonstrate relative bioavailability in its examples and does not disclose any AUC data for either route of administration. Thus, as a result of this finding and the lack of adequate representations in the specification, Applicant has not enabled this aspect of the claimed composition or methods for using the same. The skilled artisan in this field would not accept the representations set forth in the instant disclosure as sufficient to enable cyanocobalamin compositions and methods of using the composition based on the bioavailability of about 7% relative to and intramuscular injection of cyanocobalamin.

Moreover, pharmacokinetic profiles are predictable and are routinely demonstrated when an applicant claims that a formulation has a specific relative

Art Unit: 1624

bioavailability. Thus, it would be expected that the applicant could demonstrate that the formulations and methods claimed have a bioavailability of cyanocobalamin, when administered nasally, of at least 7% relative to an intramuscular injection of cyanocobalamin, and in demonstrating this, Applicant would provide the data necessary to calculate the relative bioavailability.

Thus, in order to accomplish the showing that the bioavailability of cyanocobalamin, when administered nasally, is at least 7% relative to an intramuscular injection of cyanocobalamin, the Applicant would have to show the AUC and those administered for both the intranasal and intramuscular routes to calculate the bioavailability. Therefore, the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is maintained for the reasons of record and as set forth herein.

#### Conclusion

Claims 1-31 are pending. Claims 1, 23, 24, 30 and 31 are rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/787,385 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V. WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600